$\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$ ili $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$

W h to DC

18 1 0. Was that the purpose then of bringing in 2 the COO? 3 Α. To get focus on operations, find our way out of bankruptcy as reasonably and as quickly as we could, but meanwhile have the 5 strength of the company be demonstrated so credit 7 lines and everything else would be available and to really operate the company and to generate enough 9 strong feeling that after bankruptcy is over with 10 the company could move forward with the strong 11 operation it has. And they've been strengthening 12 considerably under Paul and very much so under 13 Festa. 14 How many other candidates were 15 considered besides Mr. Festa? 16 Α. Interviewed, none, as I recall; 17 considered, a bunch, because we know a lot of 18 people. In this situation you tend to have to 19 attract people by personal knowledge. 20 worked with some bright young guy years ago you can go to him and say here's what bankruptcy is all 21 22 about and here's the job. And you tend to have

Thomas A. Vanderslice

April 4, 2005

Washington, DC

| | 46 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Fred being I assume he'll see all this stuff. |
| 2 | He'll probably see my comments too. So I think |
| 3 | it's a serious issue for you to consider. It's |
| 4 | certainly a serious issue for us to consider. |
| 5 | Q. When the board was determining the |
| 6 | compensation to be paid to him, was there a concern |
| 7 | that if he didn't have an emergence or retention |
| 8 | bonus he wouldn't agree to be CEO? |
| 9 | A. I can't answer that. I'm not sure. |
| 10 | Q. Did the board discuss the issue of not |
| 11 | giving him an emergence or retention bonus? |
| 12 | A. Well, we discussed among ourselves |
| 13 | anyway the fact that we needed some incentive to |
| 14 | come out of bankruptcy because the bankruptcy is a |
| 15 | debilitating experience that most people engage in, |
| 16 | shareholders and everybody else, that we needed |
| 17 | something to incentivize him for coming out of |
| 18 | bankruptcy. And also we thought we needed a |
| 19 | retention component us with us. That probably got |
| 20 | most of the discussion with us. Once we saw the |
| 21 | comparable data we were all generally pretty well |
| 22 | satisfied. |

'h mas 4. V 11 Apr 14 C

gt D

47

- 1 Q. Was there a belief amongst the board
- 2 that the either/or alternative, either the
- 3 emergence or the retention bonus, was inconsistent
- 4 from the CEO's perspective?
- 5 A. I wouldn't understand why it's
- 6 inconsistent at all. So I wouldn't even have
- 7 thought about that.
- 8 Q. You said that you believed that there
- 9 needed to be an incentive to get the company out of
- 10 Chapter 11.
- 11 A. Well, you need an incentive like you
- 12 have an annual bonus. It doesn't mean the guy is
- 13 not going to break his back to get the earnings
- 14 target anyway. But you need some stake in the
- 15 ground. You need a score of some kind. And
- 16 financially is generally a way to measure that. So
- 17 it's the same thing as an incentive to do
- 18 something. It tends to +- it draws your attention.
- It's not just for the money. A good guy
- 20 will do it anyway. But you want some incentive for
- 21 him and his troops or whatever to drive forth. So
- 22 it's the same flavor. People work better in a

0: Serv